THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE C.V.NAGARJUNA REDDY

W.P.NO.18956 OF 1995

W.P.NO.26989 OF 1999

W.P.NO.20717 OF 2000
AND
W.P.NO.23269 OF 2003

COMMON ORDER:

A common grievance in all the four writ petitions is raised.

The petitioners, who were appointed on daily wage basis in Vyavasaya Vartha, a publication done under the direct control of the Commissioner and Director of Agriculture, Andhra Pradesh in the year 1972, were later absorbed in the A.P. State Agro and Industries Development Corporation Ltd., after it took over the said publication in the year 1981. As the petitioners' services were not regularized, they filed W.P.No.15898 of 1986. During the pendency of the said writ petition, the Government of Andhra Pradesh issued G.O.Ms.No.54, Food & Agriculture (FP.I) Department, dated 21.1.1989 regularizing the services of the petitioners with effect from January, 1989. Aggrieved by the non regularization of the petitioners' services with effect from the date on which they were first engaged, the present writ petitions have been filed.

The Assistant Manager (P & A) of the A.P. State Agro Industries Development Corporation Ltd., filed a counter affidavit, wherein it has been stated that in pursuance of the circular No.Pers/M(P&A)/JM/686/2006, dated 15.06.2001 the petitioners applied for retirement under voluntary retirement scheme and that accordingly the petitioners request was accepted and they were given VRS with effect from 31.07.2001.

The above statement of fact is not disputed by the learned counsel for the petitioners.

In view of this event, which has taken place subsequent to the filing of present writ petitions, no cause survives in the present writ petitions.

Sri Krishna Murthy, learned counsel for the petitioners further pointed out that even after the VRS was given, some of the retired employees under VRS scheme were later on reemployed by the A.P. State Agro Industries Corporation Ltd. As this issue does not arise in the present writ petitions, liberty is given to the petitioners to approach appropriate forum for redressal of their grievance, if any, in this regard.

With this observation, the writ petitions are dismissed. No costs.

_____

C.V.NAGARJUNA REDDY,J

Dated: 10-10-2006
kvrm